ON THE RELATIONSHIP OF ANTHOCYANIN PIGMENTATION AND STEM LENGTH IN PEA SEEDLINGS

Nozzolillo, C.

University of Ottawa, Canada

In a previous report (PNL 9:42-43, 1977) it was noted that red-stemmed seedlings grown from a heterogeneous seed lot identified as P.I. 356980 had shorter inter-nodes on the average than green-stemmed seedlings from the same lot. Otherwise the red- and green-stemmed plants were indistinguishable, both having a red spot at the nodes and a wild-type flower. Crosses were made by the author and by G. A. Marx between red-stemmed and green-stemmed second generation plants derived from the original lot. All F1 seedlings were red-stemmed. In the F2, red-stemmed seedlings were about three times more numerous than green-stemmed seedlings (Table 1) suggesting that redstemmedness is dominant over green-stemmedness and that inheritance is a simple Mendelian segregation of two alleles.

Internodal lengths of the F2 plants grown under fluorescent lights at a constant temperature of 15°C were measured (Table 2). The offspring of the selfed parent plants were also grown with the hybrids and measurements of their internodes are included in Table 2. From Table 2 it can be seen that internodal lengths of the F2 plants did not differ significantly between red stems and green stems, although red-stemmed plants tended to be slightly shorter, especially in the third and fourth internodes. The red-stemmed parents, on the other hand, were decidedly shorter than the green-stemmed parents in two of the three cases. In the third instance, the red line plants were in fact green-stemmed, presumably as the result of an unintentional selection of the offspring of a heterozygous red parent, and were taller than green line plants. Thus there is no evidence of an association between internode length and the presence or absence of basal anthocyanin.

	Number	Number	Ratio
Cross	red-stemmed	green-stemmed	
Red & x Green o			
$1 \times 9-5$ (Nozzolillo)	48	20	2.4:1
2 x 2-8 (Marx)	29	11	2.6:1
3 × 3-2 (Nozzolillo)	not successful		
Green Q x Red o			
1 × 10-63	8	4	2.0:1
2 × 5-18	155	39	4.0:1
3 × 5-11	105	Ĩ ₄ Ĩ ₄	2.4:1
Total numbers	345	118	2.9:1

T-1-1-1 D ... C

Internode	1	2	3	4	5	Sum of averages
Red parent*(9-5)	6±1	5±1	45±9	61±6	55±9	172
Green parent (10-63)	7±1	10±0	48±3	54±1	49±7	167
Red P_{F_2} red (25)	5	8	41	51	60	165
" green (15)	5	8	43	53	57	166
Green P_{F_2} red (5)	4	8	34	45	55	146
" green (4)	4	7	36	52	56	157
Red parent (2-8)	6±1	9±5	26±5	40	45	126
Green parent (5-18)	6	7	54	53	58	178
Red PF_2 red (25)	5	7	37	54	60	163
" green (14)	6	8	39	59	57	169
Green 2 F ₂ red (121)	6	8	40	58	64	. 176
" green (50)	6	9	41	59	61	176
Red parent (3-2)	5	8	28	46	59	146
Green parent (5-11)	5	3 at 0	39	63	64	174
Red 9 (cross not succ	cessful) without				
Green P_F_2 red (61)	5	7	39	52	60	163
" green (22)	5	7	40	53	58	164

Table 2. Internodal lengths (±standard deviations) of red- and green-

The plant on which the cross was made was red-stemmed but these second generation offspring were green-stemmed, suggesting that an unintentional selection had been made of the green-stemmed condition among offspring of a heterozygous parent.